









myExperiment Research Objects: Beyond Workflows and Packs

Stian Soiland-Reyes

myGrid, University of Manchester



The Universi of Manchest

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License





Microsoft Research

BOSC 2013, ISMB, Berlin, 2013-07-18



Motivation: Scientific workflows

Coordinated **execution** of *services* and linked *resources*

Dataflow between services

- Web services (SOAP, REST)
- Command line tools
- Scripts
- User interactions
- Components (nested workflows)

Method becomes:

Documented visually Shareable as single definition Reusable with new inputs Repurposable other services Reproducible?



But workflows are complex machines

- Will it **still work** after a year? 10 years?
- Expanding components, we see a workflow involves a series of specific tools and services which
 - **Depend** on datasets, software libraries, other tools
 - Are often poorly **described** or **understood**
 - Over time *evolve*, *change*, *break* or are *replaced*
- User interactions are not reproducible
 - But can be *tracked* and *replayed*



Electronic Paper Not Enough



Open Research movement: Openly share the data of your experiments



RESEARCH OBJECT (RO)



Research objects goal: Openly share *everything* about your experiments, including how those things are related

http://www.researchobject.org/

What is in a research object?

A Research Object **bundles** and **relates** digital resources of a scientific experiment or investigation:

- **Data** used and results produced in experimental study
- Methods employed to produce and analyse that data
- **Provenance** and settings for the experiments
- People involved in the investigation
- Annotations about these resources, that are essential to the understanding and interpretation of the scientific outcomes captured by a research object



Gathering everything

Research Objects (RO) *aggregate* related resources, their *provenance* and *annotations*

Conveys "everything you need to know" about a study/experiment/analysis/dataset/workflow

Shareable, evolvable, contributable, citable

ROs have their own provenance and lifecycles



Why Research Objects?

- i. To **share** your research materials *(RO as a social object)*
- ii. To facilitate **reproducibility** and **reuse** of methods
- iii. To be **recognized** and **cited** (even for constituent resources)
- iv. To preserve results and prevent decay (curation of workflow definition; using provenance for partial rerun)

A Research object

http://alpha.myexperiment.org/packs/387



Hypothesis

Hypothesis.txt

Conclusions

conclusion.pdf	⊕ · iblio/ ⊕ · i config/	
(1) Items (7)	-	
GWAStoPathway_Marco.t2flow (Workflow)		
Hypothesis.txt (Hypothesis)	Wt4Ever tools	
Mining_the_Kegg_path.wfbundle	 Browse in portal 	
conclusion.pdf (Conclusions)	Analytics and Quality	
datasetmarkers_hgvrs487.txt (Example inputs)	 Recommendations 	
10.1038_ng.507	Drements for multilastic m	
workflow_sketch_final.jpg (Sketch)	Prepare for publication:	
(i) Relationships	 Checklist Snapshot Archive 	
datasetmarkers_hgvrs487.txt is selected as input to Mining_the_Kegg_path.wfbundle	RO status	
Download	live	
Download Pack Items (ZIP archive)		

Navigate RO

in intervention in the second second

Quality Assessment of a research object

GWAS to pathway

This pack is for a workflow that finds KEGG pathways for genes from a GWAS.

Target Pack387 fully satisfies checklist for ready-to-release.

- Experiment hypothesis is present
- Workflow design sketch is present
- All workflow definitions are accessible
- All web services used by workflows are accessible
- Input data is present
- Experiment conclusions are present

Wf4Ever project

Quality Monitoring



iSOCO 2012 - Wf4Ever

Annotations in research objects

Types: "This document contains an *hypothesis"* Relations: "These datasets are *consumed* by that tool" Provenance: "These results *came from* this workflow run" Descriptions: "*Purpose* of this step is to filter out invalid data" Comments: "This method looks useful, but how do I install it?" Examples: "This is how you could use it"



Attribution

Who collected this sample? Who helped?
Which lab performed the sequencing?
Who did the data analysis?
Who wrote the analysis workflow?
Who made the data set used by analysis?
Who curated the results?

Why do I need this?

- i. To be **recognized** for my work
- ii. Who should I give **credits** to?
- iii. Who should I **complain** to?
- iv. Can I **trust** them?
- v. Who should I make **friends** with?



Derivation

Which sample was this metagenome sequenced from? Which meta-genomes was this sequence extracted from? Which sequence was the basis for the results? What is the previous revision of the new results?

Why do I need this?

- i. To **verify** consistency (did I use the correct sequence?)
- ii. To find the latest **revision**
- iii. To **backtrack** where a diversion appeared after a change
- iv. To **credit** work I depend on
- v. Auditing and defence for peer review



Activities

What happened? When? Who? What was used and generated? Why was this workflow started? Which workflow ran? Where?

Why do I need this?

- i. To see which **analysis** was performed
- ii. To find out **who** did **what**
- iii. What was the metagenome **used** for?
- iv. To **understand** the whole process "make me a Methods section"
- v. To track down **inconsistencies**





Core PROV model



Copyright © 2013 W3C[®] (MIT, ERCIM, Keio, Beihang), All Rights Reserved.

http://www.w3.org/TR/prov-primer/

Provenance of what?

Who **made** the (content of) research object? Who **maintains** it?

Who **wrote** this document? Who **uploaded** it?

Which CSV was this Excel file **imported from**?

Who wrote this **description**? When? How did we get it?

What is the **state** of this RO? (Live or Published?)

What did the research object look like before? (**Revisions**) – are there newer versions?

Which research objects are **derived** from this RO?



Research object model at a glance



Wf4Ever architecture



Saving a research object: RO bundle

Single, transferrable research object

Self-contained **snapshot**

Which files in ZIP, which are URIs? (Up to user/application)

Regular **ZIP file**, explored and unpacked with standard tools

JSON manifest is programmatically accessible without RDF understanding

Works **offline** and in desktop applications – no REST API access required

Basis for RO-enabled file formats, e.g. Taverna run bundle

Exchanged with myExperiment and RO tools

Example RO bundle: Workflow Results



URI references

W3C community group for RO

earch Object for 1 ×

http://www.w3.org/community/rosc/

View all 75 Participants →

C Www.ws.org/community/rosc/		
		LOG IN GET AN ACCOUNT MY ACCOUNT
W3C 🐝 wзс о	Community and Business Groups	Search blogs
CURRENT GROUPS REPOR	RTS ABOUT	
Mailing List	Research Object for	

JOIN THIS GROUP



No reports yet published. The Chair is responsible for publishing reports. More about publishing...

Summary

Provide provenance records: Use (and extend) PROV.

Share your methods (tools, workflows), not just your data

Make research objects: Collect resources and relate them

Pick your RO integration level to adapt:

- 1. Conceptual model
- 2. RO Core ontology (aggregation and annotation)
- 3. Workflow description and provenance
- 4. Wf4Ever architecture for APIs
- 5. myExperiment as user interface